

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/317,802	05/24/1999	CHRISTOPHER R. UHLIK	015685-022	9569
7.	590 12/09/2002			
GREGORY D. CALDWELL		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BLVD			CORSARO, NICK	
SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2684 DATE MAILED: 12/09/2002	#30

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/317,802

Applicant(s)

Christopher R. Uhlik

Examiner

Nick Corsaro

Art Unit 2684



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY REPLODED FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE. A SHORTENED STATUTORY REPLODED FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE.				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.				
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 				
Status				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 25, 2002				
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte QuayNe35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) X Claim(s) 35, 37-90, 94, 100, and 110-115 is/are pending in the applica				
4a) Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from considera				
5) X Claim(s) <u>35, 37-90, 94, and 100</u> is/are allowed.				
6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requires				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are a accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some* c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				

Application/Control Number: 09/317,802 Page 2

Art Unit: 2684

Response to Amendment

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 110-115 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 35, 37-90, 94 and 100 have been considered and have merit. The claims have been allowed.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 35, 37-90, 94 and 100 are allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 110 and 113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hisamura et al. (5,678,188).

Consider claims 110 and 113, Hisamura teaches receiving on a communication system operating at maximum call capacity a priority channel request for an emergency call from a wireless subscriber unit (see col. 1 lines 43-50, col. 5 lines 19-23 and col. 5 lines 39-47).

Application/Control Number: 09/317,802 Page 3

Art Unit: 2684

Hisamura teaches terminating a non-emergency call connected to the communication system to free a communication channel to service the request (see col. 5 lines 40-55 and col. 6 lines 1-9). Hisamura teaches establishing the emergency call on the freed communication channel (see col. 2 lines 10-20, col. 5 lines 4-6, and col. 10 lines 30-34).

Consider claim 111 and 114, Hisamura teaches terminating a non-emergency call connected to the communication system comprises selecting a non-emergency call of a lower priority than a priority of the emergency call and disconnecting the non-emergency lower priority call (see col. 5 lines 40-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 112 and 115 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hisamura et al. (5,678,188) in view of Joseph et al. (5,574,977).

Consider claims 112 and 115 Hisamura discloses connecting an emergency call over a no emergency call (see col. 5 lines 47-53, col. 4 lines 40-67 and col. 5 lines 1-67). Hisamura does not specifically disclose a ranking with higher priority of the calls. Joseph discloses ranking of the calls with higher priority (see col. 3 lines 1-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 09/317,802 Page 4

Art Unit: 2684

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Hisamura, and rank the calls with higher priority, as taught by Joseph, thus allowing emergency calls to take a higher priority over non-emergency calls.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nick Corsaro whose telephone number is (703) 306-5616.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Daniel Hunter, can be reached at (703) 308-6732. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2684

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Nick Corsaro

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600